

IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Mooi Choo Chuah

CASE 35

**TITLE** A Sender-Initiated Recovery Algorithm (SIRA)  
For The Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (L2TP)

**ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231**

Express Mail mailing label  
number EJ 689579678 US  
Date of Deposit JULY 8 1999  
I hereby certify that this APPLICATION is  
being deposited with the United States Postal  
Service "Express Mail Post Office to  
Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the  
date indicated above and is addressed to the  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
K. Stone  
(Printed name of person mailing paper of fee)  
K. Stone  
(Signature of person mailing paper of fee)

**SIR:**

**NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(b)**

Enclosed are the following papers relating to the above-named application for patent:

Specification  
6 Informal sheets of drawing(s)  
1 Assignment with Cover Sheet  
Declaration and Power of Attorney  
Information Disclosure Statement with 1 (one) reference  
attached


CLAIMS AS FILED				
	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	CALCULATIONS
Total Claims	13 - 20 =	0	x \$18 =	\$0
Independent Claims	5 - 3 =	2	x \$78 =	\$156
Multiple Dependent Claim(s), if applicable			\$260 =	\$0
Basic Fee				\$760
			<b>TOTAL FEE:</b>	<b>\$916</b>

Please file the application and charge **Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325** the amount of \$916, to cover the filing fee. Duplicate copies of this letter are enclosed. In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Deposit Account No. 12-2325** as required to correct the error.

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to treat any concurrent or future reply, requiring a petition for extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136 for its timely submission, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time if not submitted with the reply.

Please address all correspondence to **Docket Administrator (Room 3C-512), Lucent Technologies Inc., 600 Mountain Avenue, P. O. Box 636, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636**. However, telephone calls should be made to me at 732-949-1708.

Respectfully,

  
Joseph J. Opalach  
Reg. No. 36229  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Date: July 8, 1999  
**Lucent Technologies Inc.**  
**600 Mountain Avenue**  
**P. O. Box 636**  
**Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636**

## A SENDER INITIATED RECOVERY ALGORITHM (SIRA) FOR THE LAYER 2 TUNNELING PROTOCOL (L2TP)

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

- Related subject matter is disclosed in the co-pending, commonly assigned, U.S.
- 5 Patent application of Chuah, entitled "A Receiver Initiated Recovery Algorithm (RIRA) for the Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (L2TP)," Application No. XXX, filed on XXX.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### (1) FIELD OF THE INVENTION

- This invention relates generally to communications and, more particularly, to
- 10 packet communications systems.

#### (2) BACKGROUND ART

- The Layer Two Tunneling Protocol (L2TP) (e.g., see K. Hamzeh, T. Kolar, M. Littlewood, G. Singh Pall, J. Taarud, A. J. Valencia, W. Verthein, W.M. Townsley, B. Palter, A. Rubens "Layer Two Tunneling Protocol (L2TP)", Internet draft, March, 1998)
- 15 is designed by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) L2TP Working Group to allow internet service providers (ISP) to offer services other than traditional registered Internet Protocol (IP) address-based services. For example, ISPs can now offer virtual dial-up services to their customers via L2TP tunnels (or L2TP connections) allowing them to access corporate intranets.

- 20 There are two types of sessions, in an L2TP connection, namely a control session and a data session. For a control session, L2TP defines a retransmission scheme for control messages (also known as control packets) lost during transmission. However, L2TP does not retransmit lost payload messages (also known as payload packets) for a data session. Instead, when payload packets are missing, L2TP defines a sender-initiated
- 25 recovery algorithm (SIRA) for resetting the "next received" ( $Nr$ ) sequence number at the receiver. In particular, when the transmit window times-out (i.e., the sender has transmitted a predefined number of packets without receiving an acknowledgement of a

earlier-sent packet) the sender transmits a payload message that includes a predefined "Reset  $Sr$ " ( $R-bit$ ) indicator, which resets the value for  $Nr$  (at the receiver) to either just beyond the first missing packet or to the current send sequence number of the sender.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 In accordance with the invention, a Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (L2TP) sender sends packets to an L2TP receiver and initiates a recovery process upon detection of a multiple messages from the L2TP receiver that the L2TP receiver is still waiting for a prior transmitted packet.

10 In an embodiment of the invention, an L2TP connection is established between two packet interfaces, i.e., an L2TP Access Concentrator (LAC) and a L2TP Network Server (LNS). The sender of at least one of these each packet interfaces performs a sender initiated recovery algorithm (SIRA) upon detection of multiple messages from the L2TP receiver that the L2TP receiver is still waiting for a prior transmitted packet. In particular, if the L2TP sender receives a predefined number of packets including the same  
15 "next received" ( $Nr$ ) sequence number, the L2TP sender transmits a payload message that includes the "Reset  $Sr$ " ( $R-bit$ ) indicator, which resets the value for  $Nr$  (at the receiver) to either just beyond the first missing packet or to the current send sequence number of the sender.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

20 FIG. 1 shows an L2TP prior art transmission sequence;

FIG. 2 shows a communications system in accordance with the principles of the invention;

FIGs. 3, 4 and 5 show illustrative flow charts of methods in accordance with the principles of the invention; and

25 FIG. 6 shows a block diagram of an illustrative packet interface embodying the principles of the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

At this point, before describing the inventive concept, a brief review is provided of

L2TP sequence numbers. If the reader is familiar with this background, simply skip-ahead to the section entitled “Sender Initiated Recovery Algorithm (SIRA).”

### L2TP Sequence Numbers

In L2TP, each peer maintains a number of sequence number states. A single  
 5 sequence number state is maintained for all control messages, and a session-specific  
 sequence number state is maintained for the payload of each user session within a tunnel.  
 For example, assume an L2TP Access Concentrator (LAC) has established an L2TP  
 tunnel with an L2TP Network Server (LNS) such that the L2TP tunnel conveys two user  
 sessions. The LAC then maintains one sequence number state for control messages to the  
 10 LNS and two session-specific sequence number states, one for each user session.  
 Similarly, the LNS also maintains its own sequence number state for control messages and  
 two session-specific sequence number states. Further, the sender and receiver negotiate a  
 transmit window size (in packets) that represents the number of packets the sender may  
 transmit before requiring an acknowledgement from the receiver for an earlier transmitted  
 15 packet (described further below).

A sequence number state is represented by distinct pair of state variables,  $Sr$  and  
 $Ss$ .  $Sr$  represents the expected sequence value of the next message from a peer.  $Ss$   
 represents the sequence value of the  $Ns$  field (described below) for the next message to be  
 sent to the opposite peer. Each state,  $Sr$  and  $Ss$ , is initialized such that the first message  
 20 sent and the first message expected to be received for each session has an  $Ns$  value of 0.  
 This corresponds to initializing  $Ss$  and  $Sr$  in both peers to 0 for each new session.

In L2TP, there are two fields for use in a packet: the  $Nr$  (Next Received) field and  
 $Ns$  (Next Sent) field. These two fields are always present in control messages, and are  
 optionally present in payload packets. Every time a peer sends a non-zero length message,  
 25 it increments its corresponding  $Ss$  value for that session by 1. This increment takes place  
 after the current  $Ss$  value is copied to  $Ns$  in the message to be sent. Outgoing messages  
 always include the current value of  $Sr$  for the corresponding session in their  $Nr$  field  
 (however, if sent before any packet is received,  $Nr$  is 0). When a non zero-length message  
 is received with an  $Ns$  value that matches the session's current  $Sr$  value,  $Sr$  is incremented  
 30 by 1. It should be noted that, for both control and payload sessions,  $Sr$  is not modified if a

message is received with a value of  $Ns$  greater than the current  $Sr$  value.

Upon receipt of an in-order non-zero-length message, the receiving peer must acknowledge the message by sending back the updated value of  $Sr$  in the  $Nr$  field of the next outgoing message. This updated  $Sr$  value can be piggybacked in the  $Nr$  field of any non-zero-length outgoing messages that the peer may happen to send back.

A message (control or payload) with a zero-length body indicates that the packet is only used to communicate  $Nr$  and  $Ns$  fields. The  $Nr$  and  $Ns$  fields are filled in as described above, but the sequence number state,  $Ss$ , is not incremented. Thus, a zero-length message sent after a non-zero-length message contain a new  $Ns$  value while a non-zero-length message sent after a zero-length message contains the same value of  $Ns$  as the preceding zero-length message.

If the peer does not have a message to transmit after receiving a non-zero-length message, then it should send a zero-length message containing the latest values of  $Sr$  and  $Ss$ , as described above upon expiration of a timeout. The suggested value for this timeout is  $1/4$  of the round trip time (RTT), if computed by the receiving peer, or a maximum of  $1/2$  second otherwise. This timeout should provide a reasonable opportunity for the receiving peer to obtain a payload message destined for its peer, upon which the ACK of the received message can be piggybacked. (This timeout value should be treated as a suggested maximum. To provide better throughput, the receiving peer should skip this timeout entirely and send a zero-length message immediately in the case where its receive window fills and it has no queued data to send for this connection or it can't send queued data because the transmit window is closed.)

Upon expiration of the timeout,  $Sr$  is compared to  $Lr$  (the last sent  $Nr$ ) and if they are not equal, a zero-length ACK is issued. If they are equal, then no ACKs are outstanding and no action needs to be taken. The timer should not be reinitialized if a new message is received while it is active since such messages will be acknowledged when the timeout expires. This ensures that periodic ACKs are issued with a maximum period equal to the recommended timeout interval. This interval should be short enough to not cause false acknowledgement timeouts at the transmitter when payload messages are being sent in one direction only. Since such ACKs are being sent on what would otherwise be an idle

data path, their affect on performance should be small, if not negligible.

For a control session, if outgoing messages are lost, retransmission of outgoing messages should eventually provide the receiving peer with the expected message. For payload sessions, however, lost messages are never retransmitted.

5        When a sent message is lost, the  $Sr$  value at the receiving peer sticks at the  $Ns$  value of the first missing payload message. In L2TP, a Sender Initiated Recovery Algorithm (SIRA) is defined and illustrated in FIG. 1. In SIRA, the sender uses a timer mechanism to determine when transmitted payload packets have been lost. This timer mechanism uses the above-mentioned transmit window (and is also referred to as a form of "window time-out") and a predefined time-out value  $T$ . With respect to FIG. 1, it is assumed that the window size is 4 packets. Initially, the L2TP sender transmits a packet #1 (i.e., representative of a sequence number 1) to an L2TP receiver. Upon receipt, the L2TP receiver responds by sending a packet that includes an  $Nr$  value of #2 as the value of the next in-sequence packet the receiver expects to receive. The L2TP sender then transmits a packet #2. However, as shown in FIG. 1, this packet is not received by the L2TP receiver. Subsequently, the L2TP sender transmits packet #3, to which the L2TP receiver responds with a packet that includes an  $Nr$  value of #2. As such, the L2TP sender has not yet received a positive acknowledgement that packet #2 was received by the LT2P receiver (i.e., this is a negative acknowledgement). As is illustrated in FIG. 1, eventually, the L2TP sender transmits 4 packets (#2, #3, #4, and #5) without receiving a positive acknowledgement of packet #2. As such, the window size of 4 packets has been reached without receiving a positive acknowledgement (i.e., a window time-out occurs) and the L2TP sender halts transmission for a period of time  $T$ . If no positive acknowledgement is received before the period of time  $T$  expires, the L2TP sender transmits a payload message that includes a predefined "Reset  $Sr$ " ( $R-bit$ ) indicator. Upon detection by the receiving peer of the  $R-bit$  indicator, the receiving peer updates its  $Sr$  value to the value of  $Ns$  contained in the received payload message (that included the  $R-bit$  indicator) if  $Ns > Sr$ . In other words, the  $R-bit$  indicator is used to reset the  $Sr$  value to the value of  $Ns$ . The sender can determine whether it wants to reset the  $Sr$  value of the receiver to one past the first missing payload message or to the current value of  $Ss$  as the

sender. Unless the *R-bit* is set, a peer receiving a zero-length message does not update its *Sr* variable.

*Sender Initiated Recovery Algorithm (SIRA)*

In accordance with the invention, a Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (L2TP) sender  
5 sends packets to an L2TP receiver and initiates a recovery process upon detection of a multiple messages from the L2TP receiver that the L2TP receiver is still waiting for a prior transmitted packet.

FIG. 2 shows an illustrative communications system 100 in accordance with the principles of the invention. Other than the inventive concept, the elements are well-known  
10 and will not be described in detail. For example, personal computer (PC) 105 includes data communications equipment (not shown) for dial-up access through public-switched-network (PSTN) 110 to ISP A for establishing an Internet connection. Likewise, the solid lines between elements of communications system 100 are representative of well-known communications facilities between the respective endpoints, e.g., the connection between  
15 PC 105 and PSTN 110 is representative of a local loop connection, the connection between ISP A and Internet 130 is supported by asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) over a synchronous optical network (SONET), etc.

As can be observed from FIG. 2, communications system 100 further comprises ISP A, as represented by ISP A Network. The latter comprises network access server  
20 (NAS) 155 (also referred to herein as an L2TP Access Concentrator (LAC)), which includes a point-of-presence (POP) router (not shown) as known in the art, a local network 160, and a router 165. It is assumed that ISP A provides a virtual private network (VPN) service for remotely located employees (associated with PC 105) to access an illustrative Corporate Network via network server (NS) 135 (also referred to  
25 herein as an L2TP Network Server (LNS)), which provides, among other functions, a routing and firewall capability. (The Corporate network is assumed to be, e.g., a collection of local area networks (not shown) suitably protected behind the LNS.) For the purposes of this description, it is assumed that the reader is familiar with the above-mentioned L2TP protocol.

30 Reference should also be made at this time to FIG. 3, which shows an illustrative

flow chart of a method in accordance with the principles of the invention. For the purposes of this description, the inventive concept is described in the context of an illustrative packet interface, e.g., the LAC, in the context of sending packets to the LNS. However, it should be understood that the inventive concept is not so limited and equally applies to any packet interface that sends packets, such as the LNS. (It is presumed that the LAC and the other respective servers are suitably programmed to carry out the below-described methods using conventional programming techniques, which, as such, will not be described herein.)

In step 205, the LAC establishes an L2TP connection 2 with the LNS for communicating data between PC 110 and the LNS, which provides a gateway to the corporate network (not shown in detail). As known in the art, step 205, either results in the creation of a new tunnel to support the call between the user (not shown) associated with PC 110 and the LNA, or simply adds an L2TP connection to an existing L2TP tunnel. (Although not described herein, it is assumed that there is a point-to-point (PPP) connection 1 between the LAC and PC 105. That is, payload packets over L2TP connection 2 are L2TP encapsulated PPP packets for user sessions between the LAC/LNS pair.)

As noted above, there are two types of L2TP sessions in an L2TP connection, a control session and a payload session. (It should also be noted that the term control connection and payload connection may also be used, respectively.) In accordance with the invention, in step 210, after establishing an L2TP connection, an L2TP sender (here, illustrated by the LAC) initiates a sender initiated recovery algorithm (SIRA) upon detection that a predefined number of packets have been received that include the same "next received" ( $Nr$ ) sequence number (described below). The LAC continues to perform the SIRA if necessary until the L2TP connection is disconnected in step 215.

Turning now to FIG. 4, another flow chart is shown further illustrating the SIRA of step 210. At the start of this process, it is assumed that the LAC stores a variable "*multiple-negative-acknowledgements*" and initializes this variable to zero. It is assumed this variable is a simple data structure that associates a sequence number value  $ONr$  (outstanding sequence number) with a counter value,  $K$ . (It should be noted that, as



known in the art, sequence numbers are typically repeated, e.g., modulo 8, etc. (for modulo 8, the sequence numbers, 0, 1, ..., 6, 7, are used). As such, the below described method presumes that the LAC takes into account the eventual re-use of a sequence number.) In step 305, the LAC receives a packet that includes an  $Nr$  value representing the next sequence number packet expected by the LNS (receiver). In step 310, the LAC checks for an outstanding packet, e.g., is the received  $Nr$  value the same as the current value of  $ONr$ . If the values are different, i.e., there is no outstanding packet, the LAC updates the variables in step 325, e.g., the LAC updates the value of  $ONr$  to the received  $Nr$  value, sets the value of  $K$  to 1, and continues to step 305 to receive packets. However, if there is an outstanding packet, e.g., the received value for  $Nr$  is equal to the value for  $ONr$  (the same packet),  $K$  is incremented by 1 in step 315 and the LAC checks if a *predefined limit* has been reached in step 320. (The value of the *predefined limit* is determined empirically. For illustration, it is assumed that the *predefined limit* is 3.) If, in step 320, less than 3 multiple negative acknowledgments have been received, then the LAC continues to step 305 to receive packets. However, if the limit has been reached in step 315, then the LAC transmits a payload message (in step 330) to the LNS that includes the "Reset  $Sr$ " (*R-bit*) indicator, which resets the value for  $Nr$  (at the receiver) to either just beyond the first missing packet or to the current send sequence number of the sender. In addition, the LAC re-initializes the "*multiple-negative-acknowledgements*" variable and continues to step 305 to receive packets.

It should be noted that, setting a window size to be two or three times the round trip times is sufficient to give relatively high throughput. In addition, the timeout interval can be chosen to be comparable to round trip time plus two or four standard deviations. Finally, it is still required that the sender transmits an *R-bit* packet when the window of the sender is closed for more than a window timeout value. Illustratively, a buffer (not shown) of size of 128 packets is assumed. However, buffer size only needs to be one more than the window size. An illustrative window size is 7 packets. An illustrative RTT is 4 packets.

In accordance with a feature of the invention, the sender can also incorporate a "*payload time-out*" feature. In the *payload time-out* feature, if a predefined period of

time,  $\tau$ , expires before receiving a positive acknowledgement from the receiver, the sender transmits a payload message to the receiver that includes the "Reset  $Sr$ " ( $R$ -bit) indicator, which resets the value for  $Nr$  (at the receiver) to either just beyond the first missing packet or to the current send sequence number of the sender. A flow chart for the *payload time-out* feature is shown in FIG. 5. An illustrative value for a payload timeout is the RTT.

Turning briefly to FIG. 6, a high-level block diagram of a representative packet interface for use in performing SIRA in accordance with the principles of the invention is shown. Packet interface 605 is a stored-program-control based processor architecture and includes processor 650, memory 660 (for storing program instructions and data, e.g., for performing the illustrative methods shown in FIGs. 3, 4, and 5, etc.) and communications interface(s) 665 for coupling to one or more packet communication facilities as represented by path 666.

The foregoing merely illustrates the principles of the invention and it will thus be appreciated that those skilled in the art will be able to devise numerous alternative arrangements which, although not explicitly described herein, embody the principles of the invention and are within its spirit and scope.

CLAIMS:

1 1. A method for use in a layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP) sender, the method  
2 comprising the steps of:

3 sending packets directed to an L2TP peer; and  
4 initiating a recovery process upon detection of multiple messages from the L2TP  
5 peer that the L2TP peer is still waiting for a prior transmitted packet.

1 2. The method of claim 1 wherein the multiple messages are negative  
2 acknowledgements.

1 3. The method of claim 1 wherein the initiating step includes the step of sending a  
2 packet that includes a "Reset *Sr*" (*R-bit*) indicator for resetting a next received sequence  
3 number, *Nr*, value at the L2TP peer.

1 4. A method for use in a layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP) sender, the method  
2 comprising the steps of:  
3 receiving a packet from an L2TP peer, the received packet including a next  
4 received sequence number, *Nr*, value;  
5 determining if the *Nr* value represents a negative acknowledgement; and  
6 if a predetermined number of such negative acknowledgements have been  
7 received, initiating a recovery process with the L2TP peer.

1 5. The method of claim 4 wherein the recovery process includes the step of  
2 sending a packet that includes a "Reset *Sr*" (*R-bit*) indicator for resetting a next received  
3 sequence number, *Nr*, value at the L2TP peer.

1 6. A method for use in a layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP) sender, the method  
2 comprising the steps of:  
3 sending packets directed to an L2TP peer; and  
4 initiating a recovery process upon detection of either multiple messages from the  
5 L2TP peer that the L2TP peer is still waiting for a prior transmitted packet, or if a  
6 predetermined payload time-out occurs with respect to the prior transmitted packet.

1           7. The method of claim 6 wherein the multiple messages are negative  
2 acknowledgements.

1           8. The method of claim 6 wherein the initiating step includes the step of sending a  
2 packet that includes a "Reset  $Sr$ " (*R-bit*) indicator for resetting a next received sequence  
3 number,  $Nr$ , value at the L2TP peer.

1           9. A packet interface for use in forming a layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP)  
2 sender, the packet interface comprising:  
3           a communications interface for sending packets directed to an L2TP peer; and  
4           a processor for initiating a recovery process upon detection of multiple messages  
5 from the L2TP peer that the L2TP peer is still waiting for a prior transmitted packet.

1           10. The packet interface of claim 9 wherein the multiple messages are negative  
2 acknowledgements.

1           11. The packet interface of claim 9 wherein the processor sends a packet that  
2 includes a "Reset  $Sr$ " (*R-bit*) indicator for resetting a next received sequence number,  $Nr$ ,  
3 value at the L2TP peer as part of the initiated recovery process.


1           12. A packet interface for use in forming a layer 2 tunneling protocol (L2TP)  
2 sender, the packet interface comprising:  
3           a communications interface for receiving a packet from an L2TP peer, the received  
4 packet including a next received sequence number,  $Nr$ , value; and  
5           a processor for determining (a) if the  $Nr$  value represents a negative  
6 acknowledgement; and (b) if a predetermined number of such negative acknowledgements  
7 have been received, initiating a recovery process with the L2TP peer.

1           13. The packet interface of claim 12 wherein the processor sends a packet that  
2 includes a "Reset  $Sr$ " (*R-bit*) indicator for resetting a next received sequence number,  $Nr$ ,  
3 value at the L2TP peer as part of the initiated recovery process.

## ABSTRACT

A Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (L2TP) performs a sender initiated recovery algorithm (SIRA) upon receiving, from an L2TP receiver, a predefined number of packets including the same “next received” ( $Nr$ ) sequence number. The L2TP sender transmits a  
5 payload message that includes the "Reset  $Sr$ " ( $R-bit$ ) indicator, which resets the value for  $Nr$  (at the receiver) to either just beyond the first missing packet or to the current send sequence number of the sender.

FIG. 1



Chuah 35

FIG. 2




FIG. 3






FIG. 4




FIG. 5





FIG. 6



IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As the below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **A Sender-Initiated Recovery Algorithm (SIRA) For The Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol (L2TP)** the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by an amendment, if any, specifically referred to in this oath or declaration.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

None

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

None

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the patent, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

0934537.070899


Thomas J. Bean	(Reg. No. P-44528)
Lester H. Birnbaum	(Reg. No. 25830)
Richard J. Botos	(Reg. No. 32016)
Jeffery J. Brosemer	(Reg. No. 36096)
Kenneth M. Brown	(Reg. No. 37590)
Craig J. Cox	(Reg. No. 39643)
Donald P. Dinella	(Reg. No. 39961)
Guy H. Eriksen	(Reg. No. 41736)
Martin I. Finston	(Reg. No. 31613)
James H. Fox	(Reg. No. 29379)
William S. Francos	(Reg. No. 38456)
Barry H. Freedman	(Reg. No. 26166)
Julio A. Garceran	(Reg. No. 37138)
Mony R. Ghose	(Reg. No. 38159)
Jimmy Goo	(Reg. No. 36528)
Anthony Grillo	(Reg. No. 36535)
Stephen M. Gurey	(Reg. No. 27336)
John M. Harman	(Reg. No. 38173)
John W. Hayes	(Reg. No. 33900)
Michael B. Johannesen	(Reg. No. 35557)
Mark A. Kurisko	(Reg. No. 38944)
Irena Lager	(Reg. No. 39260)
Christopher N. Malvone	(Reg. No. 34866)
Scott W. McLellan	(Reg. No. 30776)
Martin G. Meder	(Reg. No. 34674)
John C. Moran	(Reg. No. 30782)
Michael A. Morra	(Reg. No. 28975)
Gregory J. Murgia	(Reg. No. 41209)
Claude R. Narcisse	(Reg. No. 38979)
Joseph J. Opalach	(Reg. No. 36229)
Neil R. Ormos	(Reg. No. 35309)
Eugen E. Pacher	(Reg. No. 29964)
Jack R. Penrod	(Reg. No. 31864)
Daniel J. Piotrowski	(Reg. No. 42079)
Gregory C. Ranieri	(Reg. No. 29695)
Scott J. Rittman	(Reg. No. 39010)
Eugene J. Rosenthal	(Reg. No. 36658)
Bruce S. Schneider	(Reg. No. 27949)
Ronald D. Slusky	(Reg. No. 26585)
David L. Smith	(Reg. No. 30592)
Patricia A. Verlangieri	(Reg. No. 42201)
John P. Veschi	(Reg. No. 39058)
David Volejnicek	(Reg. No. 29355)
Charles L. Warren	(Reg. No. 27407)
Jeffrey M. Weinick	(Reg. No. 36304)
Eli Weiss	(Reg. No. 17765)

550040" T 4354E60

M.C. Chuah 35

Please address all correspondence to the Docket Administrator (Rm. 3C-512), Lucent Technologies Inc., 600 Mountain Avenue, P. O. Box 636, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636. Telephone calls should be made to Joseph J. Opalach by dialing 732-949-1708.

Full name of sole inventor: Mooi Choo Chuah

Inventor's signature  Date 7/7/99

Residence: Eatontown, Monmouth County, New Jersey

Citizenship: Malaysia

Post Office Address: 184B Eatoncrest Drive  
Eatontown, New Jersey 07724

666040-1256460